

Lockheed Martin Technology Services Environmental Services REAC 2890 Woodbridge Avenue Building 209 Annex Edison, NJ 08837-3679 Telephone 732-321-4200 Facsimile 732-494-4021

DATE:

October 1, 2009

TO:

Gary Newhart, U.S. EPA/ERTC Work Assignment Manager

THROUGH:

FROM:

Dennis Miller, REAC Program Manager Manager Christopher Gussman, REAC Task Leader Manager Mana

SUBJECT:

PILOT STUDY SEPTEMBER 2009 EVALUATION

LOWER SILVER CREEK TAILINGS SITE

WORK ASSIGNMENT No. 0-300

TRIP REPORT

Introduction

This trip report summarizes the progress of the pilot scale study at the Lower Silver Creek Tailings Site initiated on May 11, 2009. In November of 2008, Lockheed Martin Response Engineering Analytical Contract (REAC) personnel were tasked to chemically and agriculturally characterize soil provided from the site. Part of this evaluation was to determine the effect of a locally available biosolids compost source and another organic compost on the soil chemistry and plant growth in these soils. Contaminated soil (tailings) cover a large area of the site, and an effective way to revegetate the area and/or bind metals of interest would be more economic than removal. The current goal is to observe the effects of the amendment in the field in situ, and to compare different amendments and amendment application rates on the growth and long term establishment of the native revegetation effort.

REAC personnel, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) Work Assignment Management (WAM), and a representative of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, under guidance of Region VIII EPA, initiated the pilot scale trial on May 11, 2009. An area of tailings typical of the area was selected, and a 75 feet by 100 feet pilot study area was created. This pilot study area was divided into fifteen 25 feet by 20 feet subplots allowing five treatments placed by a complete randomized block design with three replications. An additional, smaller experimental plot (five 10 feet by 10 feet blocks, not replicated) was also set up on an area of barren tailings.

On September 16, 2009 the REAC task leader and the ERT WAM returned to the Site to evaluate the progress of the revegetation establishment at the Site after the first full growing season.

Background

From the mid-1800s through the 1970s, this region was extensively mined for silver and lead ores. Although some remediation has occurred, residual deposits of tailing wastes remain in place along large sections of the Lower Silver Creek. Bed sediment samples were collected by the USGS in 1998, 1999, and 2000 and analyzed. Water samples were collected in March and August 2000 and were analyzed for total and dissolved trace metals.

Concentrations of silver (Ag), cadmium (Cd), copper (Cu), lead (Pb), mercury (Hg), and zinc (Zn) in the streambed sediment of Silver Creek greatly exceeded background concentrations. The levels of these metals also exceeded established aquatic life criteria at most sites. In the Weber River, downstream of the confluence with Silver Creek, concentrations of Cd, Pb, Zn, and total Hg in streambed sediment also exceeded aquatic life guidelines, however,

0300-DTR-100109

concentrations of metals in streambed sediment of McLeod and Kimball Creeks were lower than Silver Creek. Water-column sampling showed concentrations of Zn, total Hg, and methylmercury in Silver Creek were high relative to unimpacted sites, and exceeded water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic organisms. Qualitative measurements of the macroinvertebrate community in Silver Creek were compared to the spatial distribution of metals in streambed sediment. The data indicate that impairment related to metal concentration exists in Silver Creek.

The Lower Silver Creek Tailings Site extends over 12 miles along the banks of Silver Creek, from State Route 248 north of Richardson Flat, two miles east of Park City, Summit County, Utah. The site has been subdivided into southern and northern portions, due to the site conditions and topography. The northern portion of the site consists of a narrow corridor located between the lanes of interstate 80 (I-80) which includes the rail trail, Silver Creek and the riparian habitat. The southern portion of the site is approximately 4.4 miles in length between Atkinson and State Route 248, and is as much as 2,500 wide, east to west. The southern portion of the site upstream from Atkinson is being developed by residential and commercial expansion.

The headwaters of Silver Creek are located upgradient of Park City. Silver Creek is the primary drainage within the watershed downstream to the Weber River confluence in Wanship, Utah. The Weber River is considered a Class 4 (agricultural), 3A (cold water fishery), 2B (contact recreation), and 1C (source of drinking water) river. Silver Creek is considered a Class 3A, 1C and 4 stream.

Mine tailings generally cover the entire southern portion of the Lower Silver Creek. Tailings are readily apparent in the non-vegetated gray colored sandy and gravelly mounds and low ridges within the riparian habitat along Silver Creek. Elongated berms trend north-south and are found throughout the entire southern portion of the Lower Silver Creek.

The northern portion of the Lower Silver Creek is a generally well vegetated riparian habitat. A beaver dam was observed upstream from Alexander Canyon. Fish were observed in Silver Creek at a few locations. Various bird species have been reported along the banks of the Silver Creek. Mine tailings have reportedly not been observed more than one mile north and downstream of Atkinson.

The area impacted by this Site is too large for conventional treatment such as removal. It is anticipated that compost and possibly other soil amendments may be utilized to enhance vegetative cover establishment at the site and possibly reduce mobility of the metal contaminants. In January 2008, REAC scientists performed a laboratory test to examine growth of plants and metal concentration on four soil samples obtained from Lower-Silver Creek. The studies indicated that the plant growth was problematic but plant health and vitality were improved with supplemental compost and phosphorus. The next step was to conduct a pilot scale revegetation trial on the tailings *in situ*. Plant growth and species diversity could then be observed under natural site conditions and any potential problems identified and corrected before going full scale. Additional data, such as metal mobility and carbon sequestration rates may also be obtained from these pilot plots at a future date.

The pilot study commenced in May 2009. In July 2009 the REAC task leader, ERT WAM and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service representative returned to the Site to evaluate the initial growth and establishment of vegetation and check the overall status of the Site. The plots were in good shape, and the seedlings were growing well on the amended plots. Photographs were obtained of each plot and percent vegetative coverage was recorded. Results were included in the July 2009 trip report.

Current Activities

The REAC Task Leader and the WAM arrived at the site on the afternoon of Wednesday, September 16, 2009. The overall condition of the Site was good. Difference in vegetative growth on different plots (soil amendments) were still apparent, but the plants had browned and had already gone dormant both in the plot and in the surrounding areas. The dominant rush "wiregrass (*Juncus balticus*) still showed some green, but most of the grasses and forbs were already dormant and brown above ground (Figure 1).

To evaluate and compare the different treatments, it was desired to look at vegetative cover and above ground plant biomass at the end of this first growing season. Unfortunately, much of the germinated seed mixture had already died back, and some of the above ground plant material was already decayed and lost. However, it was observed that many of the grasses were dormant and demonstrated healthy root systems (Figure 2). These perennials will regrow during the 2010 growing season.

Percent vegetative cover and biomass was still evaluated, as the treatment difference could still be observed. However, these results may be biased towards the wiregrass which was still green and in better physical shape than many of the other grasses and forbs. Where possible, intact but brown remains of grasses and forbs were also included in the measurements.

Three locations within each treatment plot were preselected using randomly selected coordinates. The same three coordinate locations were used within each treatment cell. For each 25 feet by 20 feet treatment cell, the southwest corner was selected as the 0,0 coordinate. The three locations were set up at (7.5', 12'), (10', 5'), and (20', 15') and labeled as locations 1, 2 and 3 respectively. These locations were flagged, and a one meter (m) by one m quadrat was placed around each flag. This one m square quadrat was further divided into four equal quarters. Percent vegetative cover was recorded for each of the four quarters of each quadrat. All above ground vegetation in the southeast quarter was cut one centimeter above ground surface and shipped back to the REAC biology laboratory. Once received at the laboratory, the plant samples were placed into paper bags and dried in an oven at 70 degrees centigrade for two days. Afterwards, the dry plant material was weighed and the weight (in grams) recorded.

Table 1 (below) illustrates the average percent (%) vegetative coverage and dry weight in grams (g) per treatment. The dry weight is the average for one quarter of a square meter. Field notes and raw data may be found in Appendix A.

Table 1: September 2009 Average Vegetation Coverage and Dry Weight at the Lower Silver Creek Pilot Study.

Soil Amendment Treatment	Average Percent Vegetative <u>Coverage.</u>	Average Dry Weight in Grams per 0.25 Square Meter.
Treatment A (Control)	7.08	7.93
Treatment B (10% Biosolids Compost)	21.25	16.53
Treatment C (20% Biosolids Compost)	21.39	10.8
Treatment D (10% Leaf Compost)	8.61	6.43
Treatment E (10% Biosolids + 10% Leaf Composts)	24.3	15.21


It is apparent that the addition of organic material, particularly biosolids compost, has a positive effect on vegetative growth on the tailings at Lower Silver Creek. The differences with treatment could be readily observed on Site even when standing on the adjacent road. Thus far, treatments B, C, and E appear to have a very similar, positive effect on stimulating plant growth, and these three treatments performed much better than the untreated control. Treatment D, leaf compost only, produced rather poor results, perhaps because this compost is deficient in nitrogen.

Future Activities

Future of the amended soils to bind metals of concern, and carbon sequestration will be more closely examined. It is anticipated that another trip will be made to the site in May or June 2010 for further evaluation. Additional treatments may also be evaluated in the field or laboratory based on current findings and additional discussion.

Figures





U.S. EPA ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE TEAM RESPONSE ENGINEERING AND ANALYTICAL CONTRACT EP-C-04-032 W.A.# 0 - 300 FIGURE 1
THE PILOT AREA SEPTEMBER 2009,
AFTER ONE GROWING SEASON
LOWER SILVER CREEK TAILING SITE
PARK CITY, UTAH
SEPTEMBER 2009



U.S. EPA ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE TEAM RESPONSE ENGINEERING AND ANALYTICAL CONTRACT EP-C-04-032 W.A.# 0 - 300 FIGURE 2
DORMANT GRASS PLANT WITH,
HEALTHY ROOT SYSTEM
LOWER SILVER CREEK TAILING SITE
PARK CITY, UTAH
SEPTEMBER 2009

Appendix A

Appendix A
September 2009 Field Notes and Calculations
Lower Silver Creek Tailings Site
October 1, 2009

REAC IV-B-0347



"Rite in the Rain".

ALL-WEATHER

FIELD

No. 351

LOWER SILVER CREEK WA * 0-300

T.L = C. Gussman

Hednesday Dent And Visam, alta flight at 7:05 A.M. Returning to 4. S. C. to then the status the pilat plate after The Charleghe Gusan To most Epa/EAS wan Gory Newhord in Utah. Resognation and pland burnes to be examined at these, proviously reserved more coordinate - the some coordinate within each plot-(7.5' 12') (20,5') (10,51) 25 A pin flag will be placed of early coording. on a graded of In In set up at this the browns of right in of he of a quality with be weight (frost might) than dried Vey once and notes on w skight again (dry night.) al of qualitate not in ungotting ful alem above soil sulpre


Charles no had, lock bers for for-cx newing. Delaps in tempel so will be solvered Imorrow. Stapped at Nanc Pepel to replace shows, tyc nousurers Site further general them on Tiripetel. Willigars still has some grown but all three gast new brun ... b. max advert marchite of will or par compe, Armere, loves and cate of some of the younger stall look good in yours or of growth-Laid Tapes and set 3 fines per phil es per presser, po je carl loder 1,2,03 so within phi A1 = A11, A1-2, A1-3 A1-2 15%

forgot cier and of our will in difficult D Story Propy of the Mike The ord of Agust The grown !! mist from need from but it you known gente dry when Int. Rowards grand and wends who harm oddly agian wa Morning Gollardin were fired on the which put leading in and Am and to plat. A go In x Im put square placed ever ead flig with the flig of the color. The square hay been divisited Mt. I equal graduates. Notes a reget will be Then if general of each facility. PIND burner Lift of obtained for the one of the arry to gradualing Al-1 Little year, some scallered virights yearse and Spring country (5% 11-2 les mon witgins c59. Am Al-1 62 Still 159 5-11%

COVERNOS

31-1	mules of vie	form to day	······································
309. 309. 309.			
257	ory planter willegen	gan of according distrib	she/
	317 W	of dig go	w, ma
35 %	(- Cot Gos	of 141, vigeral ev donce of a large disit	y grews

4.7



20 21 A2-1 AZ-1 and First. PH DZ 02.1 Thickey/screen My correr. 159. 29 % 159. 15% E show more chandy, with more produced : at done gives, appropriate than Alon 20 25 50 Alone wangour A2-1 Sparne Whatever 5%. C5%. 5%. EZ-1. 257 A2-2 557 557 159, SARIE MAGLICE 159. <57 62-2 157, DZ donsent foregraph along week mel. 02-1 157. 102 02-1 (THIM HONE) and 02-2 159 15% 167. 152 02. 15% 107. W%


22

E3-1

202

10%.

159.




September 2009 percent coverage data for subplots at the lower Silver Creek Pilot Study (Park City, Utah) WAR 0-300

	AVG						AVG						ASPS						AVG						AVG				
A3-1	9	5	- 5	5	8	83-1	26.25	15	30	30	30	C3-1	22.5	25	15	2.5	85	D1-1	5	5	5	5	8	E1-1	33.75	35	30	40	30
A3-2	6.25	5	20	5	3	81-2	12.5	183	25	25	30	0.3	81.25	40	30	30	25	D1-2	11.25	30	10	30	35	£1-2	42.5	50	50	40	363
A1-3	11.25	10	10	10	35	81-3	28.75	303	303	20	35	C1-3	30	40	25	30	25	D1-3	13.75	20	15	3.5	15	£1-3	22.5	20	20	-30	363
A2-1	33.75	16	10	15	15	82-1	27.5	30	25	30	35	C2-1	22.5	15	25	29	25	02-1	15	15	15	35	15	£2-1	\$6.25	15	15	15	30
A2-2	5	5	5	5	5	83-2	18.25	15	35	25	26	C2-2	27.5	25	25	30	80	03-2	12.5	35	10	10	15	£2-2	3.5	15	15	35	35
A2-3	S	5	5	5	5	82-3	27.5	15	203	15	20	C2-3	12.5	20	5	10	15	D2-3	5	5	5	5	5	€2-3	10	5	30	15	10
A3-1	6.25	- 5	5	10	5	83-1	15	10	15	20	15	03-1	37.5	%	80	20	2.5	03-1	- 5	9	5	9	5	£3-1	12.5	9	20	110	15
A3-2	5	5	5	5	5	83-7	16.25	3	10	30	26	C3-2	12.5	- 5	15	15	35	D3-2	5	5	5	5	5	€3-2	43.75	50	50	40	35
A3-3	6.25	10	5	5	3	83-3	28.75	10	30	30	25	C3-3	36.25	20	15	15	15	D3-3	5	5	5	5	5	63-3	22.5	3.0	35	20	25
AVG	7.083333						22.25						21.38689						8.611111						24.30556				
STDV	3.186687						5.303.301						7.365576						4.394796						12.74925				

Dry weights (grams) from September 2009 obtained from 1/4 of Limeter square.

A1-1	0.4	81-1	15.6	CI-1	12.8	01-1	2.2	61-1	25.7
A3-2	25.8	81-2	7.4	C1-2	11	D1-2	10.3	£1-2	15.4
A1-3	14.6	B1-3	13.9	£1-3	16.4	D1-3	18.3	£1-3	1.2
AZ-1	9.2	82-1	39.6	C2-1	21.3	D2-1	9.4	E2-3	16
A2-3	10.2	82-2	30.8	C2-2	3.5	03-2	11.8	E2-7	17.8
A2-3	1.4	82-3	5	C2-3	6.3	D2-3	1.6	£2-3	3.6
Al-I	6.1	83-1	9.7	C3-1	4.2	DS-1	1.7	E3-1	4.8
A2-2	3	83-2	4.2	C3-2		D3-2	1.9	E3-2	28.3
A3-3	0.7	88-3	22.6	C3-3	13.8	DS-3	8.7	E3-3	23.7
AVG:	7.933333		16,53138		10.8		6.433333		19.21111
STOY:	8.290507		12.22426		5.889609		6.229767		10.00368



Percent Cc Percent Colver Treatment AVG STDV A 7.08 3.19 B 21.25 5.3 C 21.39 7.16 D 8.61 4.30 E 24.3 12.75

	Biomass (g	3
Treatment		STDV
A	7.93	8.29
8	18.53	32.22
3	10.8	5.89
0	6.43	6.23
g.	85.22	10

Trestment Percent Cc Biomass (grams dry weight) 8 7.38 7.93

à	7.38	7.93	
8	21.25	26.53	
€.	21.39	10.8	
0	8.61	6.43	
8	24.3	15.21	